Availableonlineatwww.jcsonline.in

Journal of Current Science & Humanities 11(4),2023,1-10.



#### PROTECTIVEEFFECTOFOKRA, ABELMOSCHUSMOSCHATUSSEEDEXTRACTONDEVELOPIN GBRAIN OFRATSDURING PRE-AND POST-NATALFLUORIDE EXPOSURE

Mr. Priyadarshini Karre, Ms. Yerravalli Priyanka, Mrs. Mala Sridevi

Assistant professor<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Department of Pharmacology,

Global College of Pharmacy, Hyderabad. Chilkur (V), Moinabad (M), Telangana- 501504

**ABSTRACT:** This study protective effect reports of A belmoschusmoschatus seed extract against so dium fluoride (NaF) induced alterations in behavior correlatedwith neurochemical changes in developing brain of rats. Excessive intake of fluoride during pregnancy cross the blood brain barrier (BBB) and cause adverse effects on neonatal development. As the BBB in fetuses, neonates and infants is immature, it cannot provide protection against the entries. Thepregnant wistar rats were randomly categorized into six groups of five animalseach. Group I is of control rats received normal tap water. Group II is NaFexposed group with 20 ppm (or 20 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> body wt.) in their drinking water. Group III rats were treated with A. moschatusaqueous extract (AMAE) (300mgkg<sup>-1</sup> body wt./day/rat) along with NaF water (20 ppm). Group IV rats weretreated with A. moschatuse than olicextract (AMEE) (300 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> bodywt./day/rat) along with NaF water (20 and VI rats were treated with AMAE (300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> bodywt./day/rat), and AMEE (300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> bodywt./day/rat) ppm).Group V 7<sup>th</sup>, 1<sup>st</sup>,  $14^{\text{th}}$ .  $21^{st}$  $30^{\text{th}}$ respectively. On and day (postpartum days). thepupsweresacrificedtoassessoxidativestressmarkers(LPO,CAT), and measured body weight, brain weight, BSI, and estimated protein content of braintissueofallexperimental groups. Onpost-natalday21 and day30 pups behavioral activity (rota rod, hot plate test) peroxidation and decreased the activity of exposuresignificantly increased lipid was measured. Fluoride catalase, and decreased body and brainweight, BSI and also protein content of the brain of pups indicating oxidative stress and inhibited antioxidant system and proteinsynthesis which were reverted on administration of AMAE and AMEE againstNaF intoxication. responses The altered behavioral on NaF exposure were alsoreversedtothatofcontrol.Hence,thisstudyprovesthevulnerabilityofdevelopingbraintofluoridetoxicityduringdevelopmentandgrow thandprotectionoffered byAMAE and AMEE towards neurotoxicity of NaF. Keywords: Sodium fluoride, Oxidativestress, Neurotoxicant, Abelmoschus, Behavior

INTRODUCTION: Prolongedingestion of fluorid through various sources. mainly e drinkingwatercausedfluorosis<sup>1</sup>.Fluorosis,asagloba lpublic health problem, has been receiving wide anddeservingattention in recentyears.Prolonged consumption fluoride of in excess duringgestationcauseadverseeffectsonneonatalde velopment<sup>2</sup>. The mechanisms of maternal fetaltransmission offluoridearepoorlyunderstood.

Therefore, the present study focused on maternalexposure of fluoride and it's effects on

developingCNSandit'sameliorationwithextract ofokraseeds. Fluoride is known to cross the placenta <sup>3,4</sup>and the blood brain barrier <sup>5</sup> and ultimately increasefluoride levels in fetal brain tissue. The blood brainbarrierintheembryo,fetus,andnewbornis"i mmature"thatmeansitispoorlydeveloped,leaky, orevenabsent, rendering the developing brain more vulnerable to fluoride entering the fetalcirculation from the mother <sup>6</sup>. Once it enter into thebrain it can cause adverse effects on the brain cellultra structure, metabolism, enzymes, the oxidantantioxidantstatusandonneurotransmittersandth us overall metabolism of brain <sup>7,8</sup>. The maternalexposure to fluoride and fluoride insobriety at theearlyperiodsoflifeisshowntocausemoreprom inent effects on the oxidant-antioxidant statusin the brain than the fluoride exposure at the laterstages of life <sup>9,10</sup>. Fluoride increases production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), lipid peroxidation, and decreased catalase activity whi

chhavebeenconsideredinpathogenesisandrecen tstudiessuggest that oxidative stress is a possible pathologicmediatingfactor in fluoride toxicity<sup>11,12</sup>.

Exposuretofluorideduringearlydevelopmentalstag esofliferesultsinlong-

termirreversible consequences with their structure and function andaccountfor qualitativedifferencesinage relatedsusceptibility <sup>13</sup>. The neuronal growth-spurting inrats occurs in weeks the first 3 of postpartum <sup>14</sup>.Duringthisperiodthebrainundergoesmanyprofo structural and functional und transformations, which makes vulnerable to fluoride 15

The high intake of plant products is associated witha reduced risk of a number of chronic diseases

<sup>16</sup>.Earlierreportsonnaturalproductswhichaidinprot ectionagainstNaFinducedbehavioralalterationsare :Curcumin<sup>17</sup>,Quercetin<sup>18</sup>,Rutin(extracted compound of Okra) <sup>19</sup>, Vitamin A <sup>20</sup>, and*Spirulinaplatensis*<sup>21</sup>.Thesenaturalproductsreve rse behavioral alterations which are induced onNaF exposure. These beneficial effects have beenmoderatelyattributedtothecompoundswhichp ossessantioxidant activity.

AbelmoschusmoschatusL., (Okra) is one of themost commonly known and utilized species of thefamilyMalvaceae.Themajorantioxidantsofvege tables are vitamins C and E, carotenoids, andphenoliccompounds,especiallyflavonoids<sup>22</sup>.N utritionally,therichestpartoftheokraplantisthe dried seed. Okra seed is rich in high qualityprotein especially with regard to its essential aminoacids <sup>22</sup> and unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleicacid <sup>22</sup>. Seeds are rich in phenolic compounds withderivatives,catechinoligomersandhydroxylcinnamic derivatives. The nutrients content of Okraseed showed that 21% protein, 14% lipids

and 5% ash. Proteins play a particularly important role inhuman nutrition <sup>22</sup>. Okra is a popular health fooddue to its high fiber, Vitamin C, and folate contentand also a good source of calcium and

potassium.Highfiber,"helpstostabilizebloodsugar byregulating the rate at which sugar is absorbed fromtheintestinaltract"<sup>23</sup>.Okraseedswillmakeavail abletheessentialenergytothebodyandimportantanti oxidantsthatcouldboostimmunesystem and prevent diseases <sup>24</sup>. The neuroprotectanteffects of extract of Okra against fluoride inducedbehavior and neurodegenerative changes in brain ofadult rat were reported in our earlier studies <sup>25</sup>. Inview of this, the efficacy of AMAE and AMEE inreducingfluorideinducedneurobehavioral,andne urochemical alterations in rats of pre and postnatalfluoride exposedisreported inthis paper.

MATERIALANDMETHODS: Healthyalbino wistarrats(Rattusnorvegicus)weightedbetween 180to200gmwereassignedrandomlytoexperime ntal groups and housed 2 females and 1maleforcage(polypropylenecages)toallowbre ed.Lightcyclesweremaintainedas12Light: 12Darkhours(6.00AMto6.00PM), and temperat urebetween22±2°C.Theratsweremaintained on standard diet and water was suppliedad libitum. After their pregnancy was confirmed, from the first day pregnancy, the females made intosixgroupsandallowedtodrinkonfluoridated waterattherateof20ppmNaFandalsoAbelmosch usmoshatusseed extract was given to he rats at the rate of 300 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> body weight asfollows;

# **ExperimentalGroups:**

- **GroupI:**Receivednormaltapwater
- Group II: Fed on fluoridated drinking water(20ppm)
- GroupIII:NaF(20ppm)+Abelmoschusmos chatusseedaqueousextract(AMAE)(300m gkg<sup>-1</sup> b. wt.)
- GroupIV:NaF(20ppm)+Abelmoschusmos chatusseedethanolicextract(AMEE)(300m gkg<sup>-1</sup> b. wt.)
- GroupV:Abelmoschusmoschatusseedaqu eous extract (AMAE) (300 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> b. wt.)only.

GroupVI:Abelmoschusmoschatusseedethan olic extract (AMEE) (300 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> b. wt.)only.

**Chemicals:**Potassiumdichromate,glacialaceticaci d,Thiobarbituricacid(TBA),H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>,sodiumcarbonat e, sodium potassium tartrate, Folin reagent*etc*. were purchased from local laboratory chemicalsuppliers.

### **PhysicalParameters:**

**Body Weight:** The rat pups were weighted at theage of post-natal Day 1, Day 7, Day 14, Day 21 andDay30 and noted down thereadings.

**BrainWeight:**Thesamepupswerekilledbydecapita tionandtheirbrainsweredissectedout.Thesebrainsw eightedand noteddownthevalues.

**Brain Somatic Index (BSI):** For calculating thebrain - somatic index, the weight of the individualrat was noted and the brain was removed carefullyand weighed on an electronic weigh balance afterremovingthebloodwithtissuepaper.BSIwascal culated by using the value of brain weight of control rat divided by the same rat body weightvalue and multiplied with 100 (brain weight /

bodyweight×100).Thisformulawasappliedtoallex perimental groups to calculate BSI. The Brain -SomaticIndexof the ratpupswere calculatedbythe useof equation citedbyAshwini<sup>26</sup>.the rats was measured as maximal time spent on therod at 30 rpm before falling off and endurance timenoted in min <sup>27</sup>.

HotPlateTest:Thehotplatetestisusedforevaluat ing thermal pain sensitivity. The hot platetest evaluates thermal pain reflexes due to footpadcontactwithaheatedsurface.Anapparatu sconsisting of an aluminium plate that is heated andcooled by Peltier elements in with contact its lowersurface.Duringtheexperiment,theratwasi ntroduced into Remi hot plate kept at  $52 \pm 0.5$ °C.Hot-plate latencies are determined as described byplacing each rat pup on a hot plate and observing the occurrence of a nociceptive response (licking of a hind paw, jumping). The time of exhibiting thesebehaviors was noted as response time and recordedinseconds.Toobservetheratbehavior,o

bservation area covered with a colourless plasticcylinder was placed on the hot-plate. In order toavoid possible tissue injury, a cut-off time of 12seconds was used to avoid skin damage. One hotplatetest was carried outforeach rat<sup>28</sup>.

## OxidativeStressMarkers:Lipidper-

oxidationandcatalasewere assessed.

## Lipidper-

**oxidation(LPO):**TheLPOwasassessed by the method of Bhuyan<sup>29</sup>. This assay isbased on the reactivity of an end product of lipidperoxidation,malondialdehyde(MDA)with TBA

BrainSomaticIndex=Brainweight

## Bodyweight×100

BehavioralAssessments:to produce a pink adduct, which is measured at 533nmonspectrophotometer.TheLevelsoflipidperoxi dationproductsexpressedasµmolofMDA/gr. weight of tissue.

**RotaRod:** The rota rod test, in which rat pupsmust balance on a rotating rod, is widely used toassessmotordeficit in neurodegenerativedisease models (in rodents). Performance is measuredby the duration that an animal stays on the rod as afunction of rotating rod speed. In the present study,theinstrument(Dolphin<sup>TM</sup>instruments)hadin crementalfixed-speed

(about30rpm)rotatingrod.Beforeexperimentaltesti ng,ratpupsweretrained to run on the rotating rod in 3 training trialsper day for three consecutive days with a constantspeed of 30 rpm. For this purpose, animals werekept on the rotating rod for 2 min, and time of theirfirst falling off and the frequency of falling off therodarerecordedforeachrat.Afterthetrainingperi od,onthedayoftesting,theperformanceof

**Catalase:**Catalaseactivitywasestimatedbymea suringtherateofdecompositionof $H_2O_2$ .Disappe aranceofperoxideinthepresenceofenzymesourc eisthebasisforassaywhichisfollowedspectropho tometricallyat505nm.Oneunitdecomposesone micromoleof $H_2O_2$ perminute <sup>30</sup>.

**ProteinEstimationofBrain:**Braintissuetotalpr oteincontentwasestimatedbythemethodofLowr y <sup>31</sup>. The principle of Lowry method

isthepeptide nitrogen(s) with the copper [II] ions underalkaline conditions and the subsequent reduction of the Folin-Ciocal teauphosphomolyb dicphosphotung stica cid to heteropolymolyb denumblue by the copperc atalyzed oxidation of aromatic

acids.Thecolorwasreadat540nm.Proteincontentint hebraintissueexpressedasmgofprotein/ gm weight of tissue.

Per cent of change between groups was calculatedbythe formulaof;

#### **RESULTS:**

**Body Weight:** The body weight was progressivelydecreased (**Table 1**) in NaF treated rats from post-natal day 1 to post-natal day 30 rats as compared tocontrol. Among these experimental days them a ximum percent (-14.34%) of decreased body

%change= <u>Experimental–Control</u> Control ×100

weightwasrecordedinpost-natalday30NaFtreatedone.

**DataAnalysis:**Statisticalsignificancewasdetermin edbyonewayanalysisofvariance.Differences between means were determined by t-test.

Furthermore, the reverted body weight was noticedin F+AMAE and F+AMEE compared to fluoridealone treated group of respective age and it wassimilar control group.

TABLE 1: EFFECT OF *ABELMOSCHUS MOSCHATUS* SEED EXTRACT ON BODY WEIGHT, BRAIN WEIGHT, BRAIN – SOMATIC INDEX (BSI) OF RAT PUPS (FROM POST-NATAL DAY 1 TO POST - NATAL DAY 30)EXPOSEDTONaF

Dayin	Organ	Control	Fluoride	%	F+AMAE	% of	F+AMEE	% of	AMAE	% of	AMEE	% of
terval	(brain,			ofchan		changefromc		changefromc		changefromc		changefromc
	body)			gefro		ontrol		ontrol		ontrol		ontrol
				mcont rol								
Day1	Brain	0.23±	0.20±	-13.04%	0.21±	-8.69%	0.22±	-4.34%	0.23±	0%	$0.22\pm$	-4.34%
	weight	0.005	0.003***		$0.005^{**}$		0.003*		0.006		0.008	
	Body	$5.18\pm$	$4.83\pm$	-8.86%	5.12±	-1.88%	5.14±	-3.01%	$5.33\pm$	1.50%	$5.11\pm$	-3.58%
	weight	0.162	0.085*		0.105*		0.121 <sup>na</sup>		0.021		0.070	
	BSI	$0.68\pm$	$4.10\pm$	-7.02%	4.19±	-4.98%	$4.25 \pm$	-3.62%	$4.39\pm$	-0.45%	4.31±	-2.26%
		0.161	0.021**		$0.156^{na}$		$0.107^{na}$		0.136		0.187	
Day7	Brain	$0.68\pm$	$0.58\pm$	-6.06%	0.65±	-1.51%	$0.65 \pm$	-3.03%	$0.66\pm$	0%	$0.66\pm$	0%
	weight	0.017	0.022*		$0.016^{**}$		0.015 <sup>na</sup>		0.010		0.015	
	Body	$10.67 \pm$	9.44±	-13.06%	$10.57 \pm$	-0.27%	10.37±	-3.89%	$10.46 \pm$	-3.05%	$10.53 \pm$	-0.55%
	weight	0.244	0.077*		0.182*		$0.197^{***}$		0.328		0.270	
	BSI	6.41±	6.19±	-3.43%	6.23±	-2.80%	6.31±	-1.56%	6.34±	-1.09%	6.30±	-1.71%
		0.255	$0.276^{na}$		$0.203^{na}$		$0.255^{na}$		0.207		0.190	
Day14	Brain	$1.25\pm$	$1.11\pm$	-4.95%	$1.23\pm$	2.47%	$1.21\pm$	0%	$1.25\pm$	3.30%	$1.24\pm$	2.47%
	weight	0.005	0.015*		0.008*		0.005*		0.008		0.013	
	Body	$20.25 \pm$	$18.71 \pm$	-8.69%	$19.78 \pm$	-1.44%	$20.07\pm$	-1.54%	20.15±	-0.77%	$20.60 \pm$	-2.02%
	weight	0.301	0.768*		0.250		$0.426^{na}$		0.265		0.404	
	BSI	6.20±	5.93±	-4.35%	6.20±	0%	6.06±	-2.25%	6.23±	0.48%	$6.04 \pm$	-2.58%
		0.092	$0.258^{na}$		$0.119^{na}$		0.133 <sup>na</sup>		0.076		0.143	
Day21	Brain	2.03±	1.79±	-5.5%	$1.92\pm$	-1.00%	1.89±	-1.5%	$2.02\pm$	1.00%	1.96±	-1.5%
	weight	0.014	0.044*		0.010*		0.024*		0.007		0.008	
	Body	26.98±	24.36±	-7.59%	25.73±	1.27%	25.50±	-1.95%	26.87±	3.34%	26.04±	-2.03%
	weight	0.375	0.424*		0.374*	0.500	0.326**	1.000	0.472	0.100	0.099	0.04
	BSI	7.52±	7.36±	-2.12%	7.48±	-0.53%	7.42±	-1.32%	7.53±	0.13%	7.52±	0%
<b>D</b>		0.140	0.279***	<b>22 2</b> 000	0.07.114	10 1501	0.147	4.4.4.67	0.146	<b>T</b> 0.000	0.032	1.0004
Day30	Brain	2.87±	2.23±	-22.29%	$2.57\pm$	-10.45%	2.55±	-11.14%	2.85±	5.92%	2.85±	-1.39%
	weight	0.160	0.031*		0.141	4.5004	0.048	0.000/	0.069	4.400	0.026	0.110
	Body	$40.37\pm$	34.58±	-14.34%	38.52±	-4.58%	$36.70\pm$	-9.09%	$40.24\pm$	4.13%	39.83±	-9.11%
	weight	0./16	0.689***	0.100/	0.653***	6.020/	0.64/	2.240/	0.622	0.5.00	0.364	0.700/
	R21	/.12±	$6.4/\pm$	-9.12%	6.69±	-6.03%	6.96±	-2.24%	/.08±0	-0.56%	/.1/±	0.70%
		0.433	0.130		0.397		0.231*		.164		0.082	

Percentage of decreased body weight, brain weight and BSI of all experimental groups compared with control was presented. There is nosignificant change in case of mean value of control group and experimental group. Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 5). Data exposed toone-way ANOVA and t-test to determine the statistical differences between groups. t-test was conducted in all possible combinations tocompare significance of data. Superscript symbols indicated significant differences observed from experimental groups; \* denotes the p-valueofP<0.01,\*\*for thep-valueofP < 0.05,\*\*\*forthep-valueofP<0.001,andnarepresention-significant differencebetweengroups.

**Brain Weight:** The brain weight was decreased inNaF intoxicated rats when compared to control asshowninthe **Table1**.Butthere is no uniform decrease in the brain weight of experimentally treated rats. The reverted brain weight was reported in F+AMAE and F+AMEE compared to fluoride alone treated group of respective age.AMAE and

AMEEreceivedratsbrainweightissimilartocontrol.

Brain SomaticIndex(BSI):DecreasedBSI(Table1)wasobservedinDay1(-7.02%),Day7

(-3.43%),Day14(-4.35%),Day21(-2.12%)and

Day30(-9.12%) aged pups of NaFintoxicated

when compared to control group of respective agedpups. Among these day 30 post-natal rats showed the highest per cent of decreased BSI. AMAE and AMEE treated groups against NaF exposed pups howed there verse dBSI as compared to NaF group.

Furthermore, AMAE and AME Ealone treated groups BSI is same as that of control.

**Rota Rod Test:** Decreased latencies and repeatedfall offs (**Fig. 1**) from the rotating rod of rota rodapparatuswerenoteddowninNaFintoxicatedpups than control. In day 21 experimental groupsthe per cent of decreased retention time is -21.69% in NaF and similarly, in day 30 it is decreased by -25.52% whencompared to respective control group.

ItwasincreasedinAMAEandAMEEtreatedprotective groups against NaF as compared to NaFalonetreated.AMEEtreatedgroupexhibitedsuperiorefficacythan AMAE treated group.



**NATAL DAY 30) EXPOSED TO NaF**)Each bar denotes the mean value, and error bars represent standard error of mean (n = 5). Data exposed to one-way ANOVA and t-test todetermine the statistical differences between groups. Superscript symbols indicated significant differences observed from experimental groups; \* denotes the p-value of P < 0.01, \*\* for the p-value of P < 0.05, \*\*\* for the p-value of P<0.001, and \*\*\*\* for the p-value of P < 0.05.

Hot Plate Test: The threshold intensity of stimulusfor thermal sensitivity was

conspicuously increased (P < 0.001) in NaF administered group (Fig. 2) by 44.38% in Day 21 pups with group compared to controlpups of respective age and it was 48.45% onDay30rats.Theresponsetothermonociceptivepain AMAE AMEE in and treated groups towardsNaFtoxicitywasfoundtobereversed.AMEEtreated group showed better efficacy than AMAEtreated group.



FIG.2:EFFECTOFABELMOSCHUSMOSCHATUSSEEDEXTRACTONTHERMOCEPTIONOFRATPUPS **POST-NATAL** DAY 21 AND POSTtocontrol group of rats. Protein content was NATALDAY30) EXPOSED TONaF revertedin AMAE and AMEE treated towards Each bar denotes the mean value, and error bars control withcomparedto represents and ard error of mean (n = 5). Data exposed to NaFalonetreatedgroup. one-wayANOVAandt-

testtodeterminethestatisticaldifferencesbetweengroups.S uperscriptsymbolsindicatedsignificantdifferences observed from experimental groups; \* denotes thepvalueofP<0.01,\*\*\*forthep-valueofP<0.001and \*\*\*\*forthep-valueofP<0.005.

OxidativeStressMarkers:Lipidper-

oxidationandcatalasewere assessed.

Lipid Per-oxidation (LPO): Significant increasedLPOlevelswerefoundinbraintissuesof NaFexposed pups of all age groups when compared

tocontrolgroupofrespectiveage.Noticeablydimi nished levelsofLPO were found inAMAEand AMEE towards NaF fed AMAE rats. exhibitedbetterprotectiveeffect thanAMEE. (Table 2)

Catalase: Reduced catalase activity was noticed inthe NaF receivedratswithcomparedtocontrol.Simultane ous treatment of AMAE and AME Erest or ed the catalaseactivity.AMAEpresentedbetterresult than AMEE.(Table3)

Protein Estimation of Brain: Decreased proteincontent(Fig.3)inthebraintissueofNaFint oxicated pups was observed when compared

#### TABLE2:EFFECTOF*ABELMOSCHUSMOSCHATUS*SEEDEXTRACTONLPOLEVELSINBRAINTISSUEOFRATPUPS(FROMPOST-NATAL DAY 1TOPOST-NATAL DAY30WITHONEWEEKINTERVAL) EXPOSEDTO NaF

	Control	Fluoride	% of changefrom control	F+AMAE	% of changefrom control	F+AMEE	% ofchan ge fromc ontrol	AMAE	% ofchan ge fromc ontrol	AMEE	% of changefrom control
Day1	$0.84\pm$ 0.023	$1.19\pm$ 0.022***	41.66%	$0.95\pm$ 0.036***	13.09%	$1.07\pm$	27.38%	$0.85\pm$ 0.026	1.19%	$0.85\pm$ 0.03	1.19%
Day7	0.86± 0.022	$1.14\pm 0.045^{****}$	33.73%	1.07± 0.051***	24.41%	1.08± 0.059 <sup>*</sup>	25.58%	0.84± 0.016	1.20%	0.85± 0.029	2.40%
Day14	$1.05 \pm 0.057$	$1.32 \pm 0.038^{*}$	25.71%	1.20± 0.054 <sup>*</sup>	14.28%	$1.20\pm 0.041^*$	14.28%	1.00± 0.03	-4.76%	$1.01 \pm 0.02$	-3.80%
Day21	1.21± 0.065	$1.57 \pm 0.062^{***}$	29.75%	$1.34 \pm 0.024^{*}$	10.74%	1.32± 0.024 <sup>*</sup>	9.09%	$1.21 \pm 0.05$	0%	1.19± 0.048	-1.65%
Day30	1.26± 0.03	$1.60 \pm 0.062^{*}$	26.98%	$1.38 \pm 0.031^{*}$	9.52%	$1.40\pm 0.014^{***}$	11.11%	1.31± 0.034	3.96%	1.32± 0.042	4.76%

Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 5). Data exposed to one-way ANOVA and t-test to determine the statistical differences between groups. Superscript symbols indicated significant differences observed from experimental groups; \* denotes the p-value of P < 0.01, \*\*\* for the p-valueofP<0.001,\*\*\*\*forthep-valueofP<0.005.LPOlevels expressedasNano-mole MDA/gmweightoftissue.

#### TABLE3:EFFECTSOF*ABELMOSCHUSMOSCHATUS*SEEDEXTRACTONCATALASEACTIVITYINBRAINTISSUEOFRATPUPS (FROMPOST-NATALDAY1TOPOST-NATALDAY 30 WITHONEWEEK INTERVAL) EXPOSEDTONaF

	Control	Fluoride	%	F+AMAE	% of	F+AMEE	%	AMAE	% of	AMEE	%
			ofchan		changefrom		ofchan		changefrom		ofchan
			ge		control		ge		control		ge
			frome				frome				frome
			ontrol				ontrol				ontrol
Day1	$0.37\pm$	$0.27\pm$	-27.02%	0.34±	-8.10%	0.30±	-18.91%	0.36±	-2.70%	$0.35\pm$	-5.40%
	0.019	$0.023^{*}$		$0.019^{***}$		$0.017^{*}$		0.01		0.007	
Day7	$0.45\pm$	$0.35\pm$	-22.22%	0.39±	-13.33%	$0.41\pm$	-8.88%	0.43±	-4.44%	$0.42\pm$	-6.66%
-	0.023	$0.012^{***}$		$0.026^{**}$		$0.025^{*}$		0.023		0.025	
Day14	$0.48\pm$	0.36±	-25.00%	0.43±	-10.41%	0.41±	-14.58%	0.47±	-2.08%	$0.46 \pm$	-4.16%
·	0.026	$0.028^{***}$		$0.026^{*}$		$0.03^{*}$		0.029		0.029	
Day21	$0.57\pm$	$0.44\pm$	-22.80%	$0.49\pm$	-14.03%	$0.49\pm$	-14.03%	0.57±	0%	$0.55\pm$	-3.50%
·	0.027	$0.022^{***}$		$0.025^{*}$		$0.026^{*}$		0.037		0.027	
Day30	$0.61\pm$	$0.48\pm$	-21.31%	0.57±	-6.55%	$0.55\pm$	-9.83%	$0.59\pm$	-3.27%	$0.59\pm$	-3.27%
	0.029	$0.04^{***}$		$0.026^{*}$		$0.028^{*}$		0.03		0.029	

Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (n = 5). Data exposed to one-way ANOVA and t-test to determine the statistical differences between groups. Superscript symbols indicated significant differences observed from experimental groups; \* denotes the p-value of P < 0.01, \*\* for the p-valueofP<0.05, \*\*\*for thep-valueofP<0.001. CATactivityexpressed as  $\mu$  mole/min/mgoftissue.



FIG.3:EFFECTOF*ABELMOSCHUSMOSCHATUS*SEEDEXTRACTONPROTEINCONTENTOFBRAINTISSUESOFRATPUPS (FROMPOST-NATALDAY 1 TOPOST-NATALDAY 30WITHONE WEEK INTERVAL) EXPOSEDTONaF

Each bar denotes the mean value, and error bars represent standard error of mean (n = 5). Data exposed to one-way ANOVA and t-test todeterminethestatistical differences between groups. Superscript symbols indicated significant differences observed from experimental groups; \* denotes the p-value of P < 0.01, \*\* for the p-value of P < 0.05, \*\*\* for the p-value of P < 0.001. Units: protein content in the brain tissue expressed as mgof protein/gmweight of tissue.

DISCUSSION: The present study demonstrated that the protective effects of Abelmoschusmoschatus seed extract against preand post-

natalNaFfluorideinducedbehavioralandneuroche micalmilieuinalbinowistarrat.Fetusisnotwellprote

ctedagainstfluoridethatcirculates inthematernal blood. This is due to placenta does notblockthepassageoffluoridefrommaternalcirculation tofoetalcirculation<sup>32</sup>andpoorlydeveloped blood brain barrier (BBB). The poorlyformedBBBvasculaturefailstocontroltheentryof fluoride into thebrain<sup>6</sup>.

Further, the research reports also demonstrated thatthe fluoride also transferred to the infant throughhuman breast milk <sup>32</sup>. That means during foetal lifeand early infancy, the blood brain barrier

providesonlypartialprotectionagainsttheentryofch emicalsintothecentralnervoussystem<sup>33,34</sup>.Thusthef etusandnewbornpupsaremuchvulnerable to fluoride. In the present research, theresults have shown that exposure to 20 ppm of NaFduringpreandpost-

natalandlactationperiodaffectthebodyweight,brain weight,andbrainsomatic index, and decreased behavioral responsemeasured on rota rod and hot plate apparatus. Andalso found that the increased levels of LPO levelsanddecreasedactivityofcatalaseandproteinco ntent of brain tissues of fluoride intoxicated ratpups.TheseallwerereversedontreatmentofAMA Eand AMEEalong withNaF.

Excessive fluoride ingestion significantly decreasedanimal growth evidenced by the depressed appetite, decrease in the rate of feed and water consumption that eventually lead to the poor growth rate in pups, witnessed by decrease in the body weight, brainweight and BSI<sup>8</sup>. The ingested fluoride not onlydisturbed nutrient digestibility (absorption) but alsoattributed to weight loss, degeneration of structureof organs, and decreased protein and lipid levels, which may be the prime factor in causing fluorideneurotoxicity. Decreased organ and body weightwasnoticedonNaFtreatmentingroupofanim als

<sup>35</sup>. And also decreased organo-somatic index (OSI)withlossofbodyweightanddecreasedproteinc ontentwas found in brain tissue.

Shashi<sup>36</sup>foundsignificantdecreasedinacidic,basic, and total proteins in rabbits treated with NaFfor 100 days. A decline in protein content occurredinvarioussofttissuesofrodentstreatedwith different doses of NaF after 30 to 70 days<sup>37</sup>. Theresults showed that the administration of AMAEand AMEE along with NaF showed the increasedbody weight, brain weight and BSI. Treatment withthe 60 mg/kg of quercetin, rutin or okra showed asignificantreversalintheirbodyweightswhencomp ared with the dexamethasone treated group<sup>38</sup>.The phyto-chemical studies showed that the okrapodscontainshighashcontentwhichwouldprov ideessentialvaluableandusefulmineralsneeded for body growth <sup>39</sup>. *A. esculentus*peel andseedpowder increases bodyweight<sup>40</sup>.

Behavioralassaysareimportantintoxicology,ter atology,phenotypescreening,andinotherapplica tions that require animals' functional statusto be measured. When assessment involves infantanimals, it can be especially challenging because

animmatureanimalisoftenmorefragile,pronetof atigue, has limited sensory and motor capabilities,andmay bedifferentially responsivetostandardchallengessuchaswaterorf ooddeprivation.Fluorideexposed

ratpupsshowedthedecreasedlatenciesandfreque ntfallsfromtherodwithcompared to control group pups. On the contrary,F+AMAE,andF+AMEEtreatedgroup ofpupswereshowedincreasedlatenciesandinfre quentfalls from the rod. And AMAE and AMEE alonetreated pups were displayed similar latency and fallofftimes of controlled pups.

These results demonstrating that the higher centersofbrainwereprotectedfromfluorideinduceddamage. The significant decline in endurance timeoffluorideexposed animals compared with the control. This i spresumablyduetoitsnegativeimpactoffluoride onthecerebellum<sup>21</sup>.Aninhibition of spontaneous motor activity and rotarodperformanceinadultrats<sup>21,41</sup> and mice<sup>42</sup> treate dwithNaFfor60daysindicatesthatmotivation of these animals has been impaired byfluoride.

**CONCLUSION:** The results of this research show that the developing brain has a less developed antioxidant system and a poorly constructed BBB, making it more vulnerable to NaF exposure. The production of free radicals by NaF disrupts the antioxidant system and messes with protein synthesis. Because of these changes, feed and water consumption rates dropped, which exacerbated the poor Puppies' development rate is shown by the decline in their body weight, brain weight. and BSI. Neurobehavioral modifications were the end result of these changes. Both AMAE and AMEE include

quercetin derivatives, which counteract NaF's neurotoxic effects by lowering free radical generation and increasing dietary nutritional value.

#### **REFERENCES**:

- 1. Bhattacharya P, Banerjee S, Pyne J, Samal AC, and Santra SC: Evaluating the prospective dangers of ingesting fluoride via pulses, vegetables, rice, and water in West Bengal, India. Publication date: 2017; volume: 1, issue: 15.
- 2. 2. In the study titled "Effect of maternal fluoride exposure on developing CNS of rats: Protective role of Aloe vera, Curcuma longa and Ocimum sanctum," the authors are Madhusudhan N, Basha PM, Puja Rai, Ahmed F, and Prasad RG. Pages 830–836 of the 2010 edition of the Indian Journal of Experimental Biology.
- 3. Thirdly, "Prenatal Fluoride—Necessity or A Myth?" by Sudhir, Kavita, Vasundhara, and Akhil. A study published in the Indian Journal of Dental Science in 2015, volume 2, issue 7, pages 1–3.
- 4. 4. The placental transfer of fluoride in pregnant women who consume water with the optimal amount of fluoride was studied by Malhotra A, Tewari A, Chawla HS, Gauba K, and Dhall K. Journal of the Indian Society for Pedodontology and Preventive Dentistry. 1993; 11(1): 1-3.
- 5. The kinetics of fluoride penetration in the liver and the brain (Geeraerts F, Gijis G, Finne E, and Crokaert R, 2005). "Fluoride" in 1986, volume 19, pages 108–112.
- Saunders NR, Liddelow SA, and Dziegielewska KM: Building blocks of the brain throughout development. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 2012; 3: 46.
- The effects of chronic fluoride poisoning on the antioxidant systems and brain lipid peroxidation in young rats, by Shivarajashankara YM, Shivashankara AR, Bhat PG and Rao SH. Vol. 35, Issue 2, 2002, pp. 197–203.
- 8. Vani ML and Reddy KP: Macrophage gastrocnemius muscle and brain enzyme effects of fluoride buildup. Science of Fluoride, 2000, 33, 17–26.
- Phattacharya P. analyzed the distribution of fluoride and the community health risk in India's Purulia district, located in the state of West Bengal. In Christ College's 9th Annual National Level Science Symposium, the year 2016; volume 3, pages 88 to 92.
- 10. Baseha, Rai, and Begum (2010) conducted a multigenerational research to evaluate the oxidative stress caused by fluoride in the brains of rats. Published in Biol Trace Elem Res. 2011; 142: 623-37.
- 11. 11. Sarkar C, Das N, Pal S, and Dinda B: 3αhydroxyprotection against oxidative stress-induced

changes in protein and nucleic acid metabolism in the brains of fluoride-intoxicated rats.

- 12. isolated olean-12-en-27-oic acid from Neanotiswightiana.
- Public Health and Preventive Services Research, 2014, 5, 7, 3047-3066.
- 14. 12. Madhusudhan N and Basha PM looked into how oxidative stress indicators were affected by maternal fluoride exposure and how certain antioxidants helped improve the situation in the developing central nervous systems of rats. Published in the journal Biologia in 2011, volume 66, issue 1, pages 187–193.
- 15. 13. The effects of chronic ginseng ingestion on ageassociated oxidative stress in rats are mitigated by Fu Y and Ji LL. Scientific American, 2003, 133, 3603–3609.
- 16. 14. Joint work by Dobbing and Sands: A comparison of the development spurt in the brain. Revised citation: Early Human Development, 1979, 3, 79.
- 17. 15. Tilson HA: Finding knowledge gaps and research requirements in developmental neurotoxicology of endocrine disruptors and pesticides. Public Health Perspectives, 1998, 106(3), 807.
- Nutraceuticals, cell death, and illness prevention (16) by Gosslau A and Chen KY. The Nutrition Journal, volume 20, pages 95–102, 2004.
- 17. The neuroprotective and antinociceptive effects of curcumin in rats with diabetic neuropathy were studied by Nagila and Reddy PK. Publication date: 2014, volume 6, issue 5, pages 131–138.
- 20. 18. Mesram N, Nagapuri K, Banala RR, Nalagoni CR and Karnati PR: Impact of quercetin on neural and learning alterations in developing rats generated by oxidative stress. King Saud University Journal of Science 2017; 29: 221-229.
- 21. 19. The Prevention of Experimentally Induced Diabetic Neuropathy in Rats by Combining Rutin and Silymarin, by Al-Enazi MM. Volume 5, Issue 4, Pages 876–889, Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, 2014.
- 22. twenty. Banala RR and Karnati PR: Inadequate vitamin A intake: Sodium fluoride (NaF) caused oxidative damage in the developing brains of rats, which is a hallmark of oxidative stress. Worldwide Journal of Developmental Neuroscience, 2015, 47: 298-303.
- 23. In a study conducted by Banji et al., Spirulinaplatensis was shown to alleviate behavioral abnormalities, thyroid dysfunction, and oxidative stress in the offspring of pregnant rats who were exposed to fluoride. Volume 140, Issue 3, published in 2013, is devoted to subjects related to food chemistry.
- 24. The Nutrient Density of Three Okra (Abelmoschusesculentus L.) Varieties, by Hassan MAM and Ali HM, 22. The citation is from the 2015 edition of the World Journal of Dairy and Food Sciences, volume 10, issue 2, pages121–131.
- 25. 23. A Review of the Nutritional Quality and Health Benefits of Okra (Abelmoschusesculentus) by

Gemedede HF, Ratta N, Haki GD, Woldegiorgis AZ, and Beyene F. Journal of Food Science and Quality Management, 2014, 33.

- 26. 24. Dhruve JJ, Shukla YM, Shah R, Patel J and Talati JG: Okra (Abelmoschusesculentus L.) seeds are a valuable source of nutritional information. Published in 2015, volume 4, issue 7, pages 1009–1023, the article is presented in the World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences.
- 27. 25. Sudhakar K, Nageshwar M, and Reddy PK: Abelmoschusmoschatusmedik seed extract counteracts rat brain neurodegeneration and oxidative stress caused by NaF-induced behavioral abnormalities. East Asian Publication date: 2017; volume: 10(10); pages 1-7.
- Ashwini L., Benakappa S., Anjanayappa H.N., and Akshay. Observations on the Gonado-Somatic Index (GSI) and Hepato-Somatic Index (HSI) of Decapterusrusselli along the coast of Mangaluru. 2016; 6(6). IJESC.
- 27. Mutant Mice Tested for Acute 1-Methyl-4-Phenyl-1, 2, 3, 6-Tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) Intoxication by Shutter-Saunders JAL, Gendelman HE, and Mosley RL. Journal of Neuroimmune Pharmacology, 2012, 7, 279–288.